Company
Docket No. 26-08-05
Response to Interrogatory CAE-17
Date of Submission: August 28th, 2026
Witness: Jennifer Runyon Eversource, Logan Taricani United Illuminating, Sergio Carrillo Connecticut Green Bank

Question:

CAE-17 Decision, Dec. 17, 2025, Annual Energy Storage Solutions Program Review – Year 5, p. 31. Explain whether legacy upfront incentive clawback amounts may continue to be recovered primarily through offsets against outstanding performance incentive payments. If so, estimate the percentage of legacy upfront incentive clawback amounts that can be recovered through such offsets.

Response:

Below is a table of current Legacy Passive + Active projects (excluding SunPower projects[1]), the number and percentage of projects for which Passive clawback fee amounts could be covered by Active Performance payments, and the number and percentage of projects for which Passive clawback fees exceed Active Performance payments.

Season	Project Category[2]	# Projects	% of Total
Summer 2026[3]	Total Passive + Active Projects	677	100%
	No Violation or Active Payment Exceeds Fee	618	91.3%
	Violation Fee Exceeds Active Payment	59	8.7%

It should be noted that Passive and Active performance data is incomplete for Summer 2026 at the time of this filing for several reasons including, for example

- The Passive and Active seasons have not yet concluded;
- Original Equipment Manufacturers ("OEMs") with Outage Protection Software have not yet provided data indicating instances where devices opted out of dispatch events due to storms; and
- Data quality control ("QC") and quality assurance ("QA") processes performed by Program Administrators, DERMS providers, and OEMs are not yet complete.

[1] See Docket No. 25-08-05, Annual Energy Storage Solutions Program Review – Year 5, Final Decision (Dec. 17, 2025).
[2] SunPower Projects were excluded from this analysis.
[3] As of August 21, 2026.