STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

June 16, 2026
In reply, please refer to:
Docket No. 26-08-05

Christie Prescott
Joel Kopylec
UIL Holdings Corporation
180 Marsh Hill Road
Orange, CT 06477

Bryan Garcia
President & CEO
Connecticut Green Bank
75 Charter Oak Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106

Vincent Pace, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Eversource Energy Service Company
107 Seldon Street
Berlin, CT 06037

Re: Docket No. 26-08-05 – Annual Energy Storage Solutions Program Review- Year 6

Dear Ms. Prescott and Messers Garcia, Kopylec, and Pace:

Enclosed are interrogatories numbered **CAE-1 through CAE-8**. Interrogatories numbered CAE-1 through CAE-3 are directed individually to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource), The United Illuminating Company (UI; collectively, the EDCs), and The Connecticut Green Bank (CGB; collectively with the EDCs, the Program Administrators). Interrogatories numbered CAE-4 through CAE-7 are directed individually to CGB. Interrogatory numbered CAE-8 is directed individually to Eversource and UI.

The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) in connection with the above-referenced docket and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **July 9, 2026, at 4:00 p.m.** The Authority encourages the submission of responses as soon as they are available rather than delaying until all answers are completed. **Please list each question and answer on the same sheet of paper using a separate sheet for each interrogatory number, and sequentially number the pages of each response (e.g., p. 1 of 5, etc.). At the top of each page, clearly identify the Docket Number, the interrogatory number (including the prefix), the name of the company and the person responsible for the response, and the date of submission.**

Similarly, each Late Filed Exhibit that may be submitted after hearing in this docket shall be sequentially numbered and identified with the Docket Number, Late Filed Exhibit Number, page number, the name of the company and the person responsible for the exhibit, and the date of submission.

If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another Parties' interrogatory(ies) in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.**ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF FILINGS**

IMPORTANT NOTE: The Authority has indefinitely suspended the paper copy filing requirement. Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to the Electronic Filing webpage for more information, including on confidential materials: Make An Electronic Filing

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by emailing the material to Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed electronically.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-200 et. seq. In those exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and it will give further direction as necessary.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at http://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCPublicList.NSF.

By the Direction of the Authority,

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY



Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary

Enclosure
cc: Service List

Interrogatories CAE-1 through CAE-3 are directed individually to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource), The United Illuminating Company (UI; collectively, the EDCs), and The Connecticut Green Bank (CGB; collectively with the EDCs, the Program Administrators).

CAE-1 Decision, Dec. 17, 2025, Annual Energy Storage Solutions Program Review – Year 5, (Year 5 Decision), pp. 20-25.

a. Comment on whether the adoption of Construct 5 has adversely affected Energy Storage Solutions (ESS) Program enrollment or resulted in any unforeseen implementation challenges.
b. If the response to part (a) is yes, provide recommended solutions to address the identified issue(s). Any such recommendations must consider cost impacts to non-participating ratepayers.

CAE-2 Provide a recommendation on whether to include average and total annual performance incentive payments in the ESS Program data dashboard [1] to enhance cost transparency.

CAE-3 Provide a recommendation on whether the actual summer monthly and/or annual peak hour should be flagged on the Dispatch Event Metrics tab of the ESS Program data dashboard.

Interrogatories CAE-4 through CAE-7 are directed individually to CGB.

CAE-4 Year 5 Decision, pp. 25-29. Provide an update on the status of CGB Proposals 1 and 2, including whether CGB still intends to proceed with one or both proposals and, if so, the anticipated implementation timeline for each.

CAE-5 Decision, Nov. 29, 2023, Annual Energy Storage Solutions Program Review – Year 3, (Year 3 Decision), p. 21.

a. For calendar year 2025, provide the number and percentage of ESS projects enrolling during that year that completed CGB inspections, disaggregated by commercial and residential projects.
b. Confirm whether these projects affirmatively opted into the inspection process, as required by the Year 3 Decision, rather than being automatically assigned to a CGB inspector.
c. Provide the total cost of all CGB inspections conducted in calendar year 2025.

[1] Available at: https://energystoragect.com/ess-performance-report/.

CAE-6 Motion No. 14 Ruling, Jan. 2, 2025, Docket No. 25-08-05, pp. 2-3. Provide an update on CGB's efforts to re-enroll SunPower batteries into the EDCs' distributed energy resource management system (DERMS) platform using Codibly. If these efforts were unsuccessful, provide a recommendation as to whether alternative approaches should be pursued to facilitate re-enrollment of SunPower batteries into the EDCs' DERMS platform, and identify any such alternatives.

CAE-7 Comment on whether any EDC DERMS data received by CGB contains material errors that affect data integrity or usability. If so, describe the processes and methodologies CGB employs to identify, clean, and validate such data.

Interrogatory CAE-8 is directed individually to Eversource and UI.

CAE-8 Comment on the quality control measures employed by the EDCs to ensure the accuracy of active dispatch data used to calculate performance incentive payments. In particular, address how the EDCs validate such data in instances where DERMS data may contain material errors that require subsequent cleaning by EDC staff or CGB.