TOWN OF ENFIELD

Hi, I'm looking for some clarification on the PEGPITA application when it comes to the programmer vs studio operator designation

In the last round of PEGPITA grants (24-10-02), I noticed that Granby under Motion #46 applied as a Studio Operator and was awarded funds to match a studio operator vs programmers getting only $10,000. I've been told in the past by Cox that Studio Operator was reserved for CAP's (which for the Enfield franchise would be them.) and to file under programmer. Ultimately, with Granby being awarded funds under Studio Operator it leaves me confused and wondering if we fall under PEG Studio Operator, despite Cox being the assigned CAP for the franchise.

When I'm looking at the last filed Community Access Provider report (24-01-06) on page 3, Cox lists both us and Granby as running our own programming on channel 16 since we run Channel 16 full time within Enfield with Cox/Charter providing a fiber line & encoder for us to send programming out of our cablecast playout system back to the residents at home, and I could argue that our Town Hall Council Chambers these days acts as a studio with the work we've put into it over the years to air live meetings to the residents.

I also went back to the franchise renewal (03-06-13) that led us and Granby to acquiring Channel 16 just to see if there were any answers other than the final decision stipulating Channel 16 was to be turned over to towns to be used for Educational & Government programming if requested.

I'm looking for some clarification here if we're either a programmer or studio operator since I don't think it's possible that we're a programmer vs Granby being a Studio Operator. Any insight provided would be great. Thanks

-Alex Giner
E-TV Coordinator – Town of Enfield, CT
(860) 741-4027